

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,721	11/14/2003	Michel Spagnol	RN95007D2	2079
7590 11/16/2004			EXAMINER	
RHODIA INC. 259 Prospect Plains Road			JOHNSON, CHRISTINA ANN	
CRANBURY, NJ 08512			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	410			
	10/713,721	SPAGNOL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christina Johnson	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 No</u>	ovember 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-63</u> is/are pending in the application	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-63</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(-/ (-/)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No. <u>08/702,723</u> .				
Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	0 T 1.4					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 51 is objected to because of the following informalities: "whereinthe" should be "wherein the". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 40-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 40 recites "The process for regeneration of a catalyst based on a zeolite."

 This limitation renders the claim indefinite because the claim lacks proper antecedent basis for "The process" as claim 40 is independent. It is suggested that applicant amend the claim to recite "A process."
- 5. Claim 47 recites the limitation "wherein the zeolite is a zeolite Beta and Y." This limitation renders the claim indefinite because it appears that only a single zeolite is used. It is suggested that applicant amend the claims to recite "or" instead of "and."
- 6. Claim 48 recites the limitation "wherein the zeolite is deposited on the zeolite." It is suggested that applicant amend the claim to recite "the metal element M is deposited on the zeolite."

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 40-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murakawa et al.

Murakawa et al. (US 5,260,238) discloses a process for regenerating a deactivated catalyst. The catalyst comprises a zeolite with a noble metal of group VIII (column 2, lines 55-65). Suitable zeolite are natural or synthetic zeolites and include X, Y, L, mordenite, and ZSM-5 (column 2, lines 58-62). It is taught that the catalyst is regenerated by contacting the catalyst under oxidative conditions at a temperature of 100-600 degrees C (column 3, lines 35-45). The oxidative conditions include the use of oxygen (column 3, lines 35-45) and in an example a mixture of oxygen and nitrogen gas is used (Example 3).

The acylation process steps recited in claims 51-63 are noted by the examiner.

These limitations have been considered recitations of intended use which do not limit the regeneration process claimed.

As each and every element of the claimed invention is taught in the prior art as recited above, the claims are anticipated by Murakawa et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,721

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5, with Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christina Johnson Patent Examiner Art Unit 1725

11/12/04

CAJ November 12, 2004